IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 41172

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2014 Unpublished Opinion No. 451
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: April 15, 2014
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
FRANCISCO PEREZ OROZCO,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Appeal from the District Court of t	the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho,

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Thomas J. Ryan, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of twenty-five years, with a minimum period of confinement of fifteen years, for sexual battery of a minor child sixteen or seventeen years of age, with enhancement, <u>affirmed</u>.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Justin M. Curtis, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jessica M. Lorello, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge;

and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Francisco Perez Orozco was found guilty of sexual battery of a minor child sixteen or seventeen years of age, with enhancement. Idaho Code §§ 18-1508A, 19-2520G(2). The district court sentenced Orozco to a unified term of twenty-five years, with a minimum period of confinement of fifteen years. Orozco appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Orozco's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.