

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 40958

STATE OF IDAHO,	)	2014 Unpublished Opinion No. 486
	)	
<b>Plaintiff-Respondent,</b>	)	<b>Filed: April 30, 2014</b>
	)	
v.	)	<b>Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk</b>
	)	
JAMES LOGAN BARTELL,	)	<b>THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</b>
	)	<b>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</b>
<b>Defendant-Appellant.</b>	)	<b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
	)	

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Seventh Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bingham County. Hon. Darren B. Simpson, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of twenty years, with a minimum period of confinement of eight years, for two counts of lewd conduct with a child under sixteen, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Spencer J. Hahn, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before GUTIERREZ, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;  
and MELANSON, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

James Logan Bartell was found guilty of two counts of lewd conduct with a child under sixteen. Idaho Code § 18-1508. The district court sentenced Bartell to a unified term of twenty years, with a minimum period of confinement of eight years. Bartell appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Bartell's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.