## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 40432**

STATE OF IDAHO,	) 2013 Unpublished Opinion No. 651
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: August 30, 2013
<b>v.</b>	) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
TONY MARK DRYDEN,	) ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Minidoka County. Hon. Jonathan P. Brody, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with two and one half years determinate, for possession of a controlled substance, <u>affirmed</u>.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Shawn F. Wilkerson, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge; and MELANSON, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Tony Mark Dryden pled guilty to possession of a controlled substance (methamphetamine), Idaho Code § 37-2732(c)(1). The district court sentenced Dryden to a unified term of five years, with two and one half years determinate. Dryden filed an Idaho Criminal Rule 35 motion for reduction of his sentence, which the district court denied. Dryden appeals, contending his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Dryden's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.