IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 40217

STATE OF IDAHO,	2013 Unpublished Opinion No. 669
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: September 19, 2013
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
MICHELLE ANN PEWE, aka EIGUREN,	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Appeal from the District Court of the Four	

County. Hon. Cheri C. Copsey, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fourteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for forgery, <u>affirmed</u>.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Shawn F. Wilkerson, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge; and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Michelle Ann Pewe, aka Eiguren was convicted of forgery, Idaho Code § 18-3601. The district court sentenced Eiguren to a unified term of fourteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, to run consecutively with any other sentences Pewe was currently serving. Pewe appeals, contending that her sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Pewe's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.