

SUMMARY STATEMENT

State v Easley, 39710-39711

In an appeal from Twin Falls County, The Idaho Supreme Court remanded defendant, Krystal Easley's, sentence for consideration of all alternatives available to the sentencing court. This is an appeal from the district court's order revoking probation and the Idaho Supreme Court's partial denial of the defendant's request to augment the record with various transcripts to be created at the public's expense. The Defendant, who has history of mental health problems, pleaded guilty to possession of a controlled substance. After various probation violations, the State sought to revoke the defendant's probation. The prosecutor did not consent to sending the defendant to mental health court. At the revocation hearing, the district court revoked the defendant's probation. The defendant appealed. On appeal, the defendant filed a motion to augment the record with various transcripts. The motion was denied in part by the Idaho Supreme Court. The Idaho Supreme Court held that it did not err when it denied the defendant's motion to augment in part. It also held that the district court erred when it allowed the prosecutor an absolute right to veto the court's desired decision to sentence the defendant to the mental health court.