

MINUTES
ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE

Thursday and Friday, October 13-14, 2016 // Idaho Supreme Court, Boise, Idaho

The Administrative Conference was called to order on Thursday, October 13, 2016, at 1:00 pm MDT by Sara Thomas.

Administrative District Judges in attendance included: Hon. Lansing Haynes, Hon. Jeff Brudie, Hon. Bradly Ford, Hon. Timothy Hansen, Hon. Richard Bevan, Hon. Mitchell Brown, and Hon. Darren Simpson. Trial Court Administrators present included Karlene Behringer, Roland Gammill, Doug Tyler, Larry Reiner, Shelli Tubbs, Suzanne Johnson, and Burt Butler.

Others joining for all or part of the Conference included: Chief Justice Jim Jones; Sara Thomas, Administrative Director of the Courts; Senior Judge Barry Wood, Deputy Administrative Director of the Courts; Court of Appeals Chief Judge John Melanson; Hon. Mitchell Brown, President – District Judges Association; Hon. Jayme Sullivan, Secretary-Treasurer – Magistrate Judges Association; Hon. Michael Oths, Past-President – Magistrate Judges Association; Hon. Rick Carnaroli, President-Elect – Magistrate Judges Association; Jim Arnold; Janica Bisharat; Andrea Patterson; Kevin Iwersen; Michael Henderson; Cathy Derden; Kerry Hong; Steve Kenyon, Judge Chris Bieter, and Judge Mark Ingram.

Chief Justice Jim Jones welcomed the Conference. He noted that he just came from an advisory meeting at the Idaho Law and Justice Learning Center, where he met with Senior Justice Trout and the Dean of the Law School about advancing justice and civility in society and government. He reported that a workgroup is being formed, prompted by Justice Kourlis' recent lecture at the Darrington Lecture, entitled "Building the Just, Speedy, and Inexpensive Civil Courts of Tomorrow: Why We Cannot Afford to Fail." He has been asked by Concordia Law School to write an article on civil justice reform, and that perhaps the Judiciary's mission statement could be revised to include the timely, inexpensive case resolution.

A. Mission Statement, Values and Strategic Goals and Objectives of the Idaho Courts and ICAR 43A

It was noted that the updates previously suggested by the Administrative Conference were approved by the Court.

B. Approval of Minutes of the July 12-13, 2016 Administrative Conference

Judge Oths noted that the July minutes should be updated to include he and Judge Carnaroli in attendance. IT WAS MOVED BY JUDGE BRUDIE AND SECONDED BY JUDGE SIMPSON TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE JULY 12-13, 2016 ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE, WITH THE CORRECTIONS AS NOTED. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

C. Supreme Court Action on Administrative Conference Recommendations and other Supreme Court Updates

Judge Wood noted action taken by the Court on the FY18 Budget and Legislative Priorities – see item D.1.

D. Budget Matters

1. FY18 Budget Priorities

- a. FY18 Budget Priorities were approved by the Court on September 29, 2016. Judge Wood explained that he and Sara Thomas have been meeting with LSO and DFM to refine the Court's FY18 budget submission, and noted that the JFAC budget process has been streamlined for the 2017 session. Following several meetings with the Court, the Court's Budget Priorities for the 2017 Legislative Session are:
 - Continue funding the iCourt Project which includes both the scheduled fourth of five one-time General Fund appropriations, plus an additional needed sum to compensate for cumulative fee revenue shortfall and increased project costs;

- Restore the salary differential between Idaho Court of Appeals Judges and District Court Judges and maintain other salary differentials existing in Idaho Code § 59-502;
- Provide an ongoing General Fund appropriation to restore Court Improvement Grant moneys for Child Protection courts;
- Provide an ongoing General Fund appropriation to improve the Court Monitoring of Protected Persons Project established in Idaho Code § 31-3201G;
- Provide an ongoing General Fund appropriation to adequately compensate judicial leadership positions;
- Include a 1% placeholder for an ongoing General Fund appropriation for a CEC for both judicial and non-judicial salaries; however, the Supreme Court requests a CEC equal to that ultimately recommended for all other state employees;
- Provide an ongoing General Fund appropriation to restore the base number of Senior Judge Days to FY2016 levels;
- Provide additional spending authority for the dedicated Substance Abuse Fund Treatment Fund;
- Provide an ongoing General Fund appropriation to fund unused vacation leave payouts at separation of employment;
- Provide an ongoing General Fund appropriation to restore funding for National Center for State Courts membership dues;
- Provide an ongoing General Fund appropriation to fund the per diem increase authorized by the State Board of Examiners in October 2015; and
- Provide funding for a new Magistrate Judge in Bonneville County, or in the alternative amend Idaho Code § 1-2205 to allow relocation of judgeships when vacancies occur.

Also included in the Judiciary's budget is a request for additional Guardian ad Litem (GAL) funding for abused and neglected children received from the Guardian ad Litem programs from around the state. Idaho Code § 16-1638 creates the GAL account to receive appropriations from the Legislature and Idaho Code § 16-1602(22) defines the Idaho Supreme Court as the grant administrator to disburse these appropriated monies to the Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA)/GAL Boards in the seven judicial districts.

b. Discussion of Priority of Payments

Kerry Hong discussed priority of payments and the impact on problem-solving court funding. The Court recently voted to move problem-solving court fees from #12 priority to #8. As part of that discussion, the Court emphasized the requirement of payment of victim restitution prior to the court ordering reimbursement to any governmental entity. Further, the Court observed that pre-judgment problem-solving court models may be better situated to collect fees per I.C. § 31-3201E because no judgement has been entered on the case and there are no other fees. Finally, priority of payments need to be followed whether the jurisdiction is using ISTARs or Odyssey.

2. Status of FY17 Budget (ending June 30, 2017)

Senior Judge Barry Wood introduced Jim Arnold, the Financial Budget Analyst from the Finance Office, who together with Tammy Brown, the Financial Manager, have done a great deal of work on both the current fiscal year (FY2017) budget, as well as preparing the FY18 budget submission due November 1, 2016.

Jim Arnold prefaced his report by noting that the data presented in the Conference materials reflects the August revenue collections, instead of September, because the materials for the conference were due prior to the close of September, and because Ada County had not yet disbursed their August collections to the state because of the transition from ISTARS to Odyssey.

- **Court Technology Fund:** As mentioned earlier, the national trend of civil filings continues to decrease which is having a correspondingly negative effect on revenues to the Court's dedicated funds. The Court Technology Fund experienced a decrease in revenue of \$70,606 (-9%) below the previous month. Comparing the first two months of FY 2016 and FY 2017, the revenue has decreased by \$33,271, (-2.2%). Among the many sources of revenue that contributes to the fund, the fees associated with HB 509 (2014) decreased by \$17,812 (-4.3%) below the previous month and \$79,482 (-8.8%) when comparing FY 2016 to FY 2017.
- **Drug Court, Mental Health Court, Family Court Services Fund:** Two substantial modifications were implemented beginning July 1, 2016 to the Drug Court, Mental Health Court, Family Court Services Fund's revenue sources: (1) the revenue stream from the Emergency Surcharge (80%) was diverted to the General Fund along with a corresponding amount of expenditures; and (2) the annual transfer of revenue of \$257,800 from the fund to the Cooperative Welfare Fund for Community Mental Health Services within the Department of Health and Welfare was discontinued and will remain in the fund. The fund realized a revenue increase of \$51,389 (12.2%) above the previous month. The main source of revenue to the fund is the 2% Liquor Surcharge. The surcharge revenue increased by \$33,776 (10.8%) above the previous month and has increased year-to-year by \$37,998 (6.1%).
- **Guardianship and Conservatorship Project Fund:** The revenue decreased slightly by \$63 (-0.3%) below the previous month and has decreased year-to-year by \$1,062 (-2.4%).
- **Senior Magistrate Fund:** The revenue decreased by \$3,495 (-8.6%) below the previous month and has decreased year-to-year by \$3,138 (-3.9%).
- **Substance Abuse Treatment Fund:** The revenue decreased by \$13,520 (-4.1%) below the previous month and has decreased year-to-year by \$2,585 (-0.4%).

3. 2017 Session Legislative Proposals and Review Proposed Rule Amendments

- a. Legislation for Consideration / Defects in the Law / Legislation being Proposed by Other Agencies or Persons that May be of Interest to the Courts, presented to the Court on September 7, 2016
Michael Henderson and Judge Wood reviewed the legislative proposals being considered by the Court.

Judge Chris Bieter presented the proposals of the Guardianship and Conservatorship Committee. This proposed legislation would: (1) amend I.C. §§ 15-5-303 to allow for the appointment of co-guardians; (2) amend I.C. § 15-5-310 to clarify when a temporary guardian should be appointed for an incapacitated person and the standard for such appointment; (3) amend I.C. § 15-5-207 to clarify the circumstances when a temporary guardian should be appointed for a minor, and also allow the appointment of co-guardians; (4) amend I.C. § 66-404A to delete the requirements for what a DHW evaluation committee report should include, so that these requirement could be set out in Court rule; (5) enact a new statute, I.C. § 66-404A, that would provide authority for the appointment of a temporary guardian or conservator for an individual with a developmental disability; and (6) amend I.C. § 15-5-308 to delete the requirements for what must be included in a visitor's report, so that these requirements could be set out in Court rule. Judge Bieter explained the purposes of these proposals and responded to questions.

Following discussion, IT WAS MOVED BY JUDGE OTHS AND SECONDED BY JUDGE FORD THAT THE GUARDIANSHIP AND CONSERVATORSHIP COMMITTEE'S PROPOSALS BE FORWARDED TO THE COURT FOR ITS CONSIDERATION. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Action Item

- The Guardianship and Conservatorship Committee's proposals will be forwarded to the Court for its consideration.

The Court's other legislative proposals, including defects legislation, were reviewed by Michael Henderson:

- Legislation to clarify name change statutes.
- Legislation to remove the inconsistencies with regard to the sanctions to be imposed on jurors and prospective jurors for failing to appear and to clarify the procedures for dealing with such situations.

Defects in the Law:

- Corrections to SB 1328a, passed at the 2016 legislative session, which made extensive revisions to the Child Protective Act.
- Clarification of the chapter dealing with interference with a funeral procession. I.C. § 49-2706 currently makes this a misdemeanor punishable by a fine of \$100, but no jail sentence. This should probably be made an infraction, unless the interference is intentional.
- Amending I.C. § 19-2604 to clarify when relief may be granted from a misdemeanor conviction.
- Updating I.C. § 1-907 to remove subsection (h), which gives Administrative District Judges authority to adopt a schedule of offenses for which magistrate judges, clerks, and other designated persons may accept written appearances, waivers of trial, and pleas of guilty, and to establish a schedule of fines and bail. These matters are now addressed by Supreme Court rule.

Michael Henderson and Judge Wood discussed legislative proposals that may be proposed by other persons or groups that may be of interest to the judiciary, including:

- Amendments to I.C. § 18-920 that would make it a misdemeanor to violate a distance restriction in a protection order issued in a criminal case.
- Legislation that would revise the garnishment statutes, placing the statutes now scattered in three different titles of the Idaho Code in a single chapter, revising their language and making certain technical corrections.
- Legislation that may emerge from the Interim Foster Care Study Committee. We are continuing to monitor the work of that committee.
- Legislation to address issues relating to gestational agreements. It does not appear at this time that there will be any proposals in this area at the 2017 session, but we will continue to monitor this issue.
- Possible proposals by Senator Grant Burgoyne to amend the tax intercept statute, I.C. § 1-1624. These may involve a change in the definition of delinquency of court-ordered payments and a clarification of what types of payment agreements would preclude interception of tax refunds as long as the defendant complied with the agreement.
- Sign language interpreter licensing legislation. It is our understanding that court interpreters would be exempt from the licensing requirement.
- Legislation to address driver's license suspensions and DWP charges. Senator Dan Johnson is looking at ways to allow drivers to get off the license suspension-DWP treadmill, while continuing to comply with licensing requirements.
- Legislation from IDHW that would authorize the establishment of a secure treatment facility for persons with intellectual or developmental disabilities who pose a substantial threat to the safety of other persons.
- Legislation from IDHW that would designate the Department as a party in CPA cases and provide for representation of the Department by the Attorney General's Office in those cases.

- Legislation being considered by the ACLU that would amend I.C. § 19-2513A regarding persons who are not eligible for the death penalty. The proposal would modify the definition of “significantly subaverage general intellectual functioning” to bring it into compliance with the holding in Hall v. Florida, 134 S.Ct. 1986, and would also provide that the death penalty could not be imposed on certain persons who were suffering from a severe mental illness at the time of the offense.

b. Discussion of proposed amendments to ICAR 48 – Emergency Closure of Court Options – Record of Closure – Disaster Emergency Plans

The Conference considered a draft of an amendment of ICAR 48, which addresses emergency closures of the courts and clerks’ offices. The amendment would include technological emergencies as grounds for closures, and would authorize Administrative District Judges as well as the Administrative Director of the Courts, or their designees, to order closures. Michael Henderson pointed out a concern that had been raised by Judge Wood regarding the new subsection (d), which would provide that when a document must be filed or a hearing held on a day when the court and the clerk’s office are closed, the filing or the hearing may take place on the next business day when the court and the clerk’s office are open. Judge Wood pointed out that this may not be appropriate when the closure is for a brief period of time.

IT WAS MOVED BY JUDGE HANSEN AND SECONDED BY JUDGE BRUDIE THAT THE DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO ICAR 48, INCLUDING THE ADDITION “FOR ANY PERIOD OF TIME,” BE RECOMMENDED TO THE COURT FOR ITS CONSIDERATION. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Action Item

- Amendments to ICAR 48, including the addition “for any period of time” will be forwarded to the Court for its consideration.

c. Proposed amendments to Rule 32

Judge Mark Ingram discussed a proposal of the Juvenile Justice Advisory Team to amend subsection (g)(9) of ICAR 32, addressing records in Juvenile Correction Act cases. Under this proposal, records in such cases would be exempt from disclosure unless the court found, upon motion, that the public’s interest in the right to know outweighs the adverse effect of the release of the records on the juvenile’s rehabilitation and competency development. The proposal was recommended by the Rule 32 Committee by a vote of 7-4.

Following discussion, IT WAS MOVED BY JUDGE BRUDIE AND SECONDED BY SHELLI TUBBS TO RECOMMEND THE ADOPTION OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO RULE 32 TO THE COURT FOR ITS CONSIDERATION. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Action Item

- Amendments to ICAR 32 will be forwarded to the Court for its consideration.

d. Proposed amendments from the Criminal Rules Committee

The Conference reviewed proposed amendments to the draft updated version of the Criminal Rules that had been recommended by the Criminal Rules Advisory Committee. The Conference approved all of the proposals, except for the recommendation to amend Rule 28 on interpreters. The Conference voted to recommend deleting Rule 28 instead of amending it, since it is covered by Idaho Court Administrative Rule 52 on court interpreters.

Following discussion, IT WAS MOVED BY JUDGE BEVAN AND SECONDED BY JUDGE HANSEN TO RECOMMEND THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS FROM THE CRIMINAL RULES COMMITTEE, EXCEPT FOR RULE 28, TO THE COURT FOR ITS CONSIDERATION. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

As a side note to the criminal rules, Judge Oths reported that Ada County continues to experience delayed lab reports. Judge Bevan also reported such delays in Twin Falls. Judge Wood asked that any judges experiencing such delays email him the details for the ADOC's meetings with ISP.

Action Item

- Amendments from the Criminal Rules Committee will be forwarded to the Court for its consideration, except for Rule 28.

AT 4:00 PM MDT, IT WAS MOVED BY JUDGE MITCHELL AND SECONDED BY SUZANNE JOHNSON TO ADJOURN THE ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE UNTIL 8:30 AM MDT ON FRIDAY, OCTOBER 13, 2016. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

The Administrative Conference was reconvened on Friday, October 13, 2016 at 8:30 am MDT by Sara Thomas.

E. Achieving the Court's Strategic Goals and Objectives

1. AOC Update

Sara Thomas provided the following update from the Administrative Office of the Courts:

- Legislative Interim Committees of interest to the courts:
 - > Foster Care Study Committee: IDHW has proposed legislation which would make them an actual party to CP cases
 - > State Employee Group Insurance and Benefits Committee: discussions about moving to a self-insured system for all state employees
 - > Administrative Hearing Officer Committee: discussions about the courts taking over the responsibility for providing administrative hearing officers
- Work is underway to produce the Court's budget and legislative documents for the upcoming session, as well as the yearly reports to be transmitted to the Governor and Legislature, and the Court's 2016 Annual Report.
- Two task forces have been convened: one addressing eye witness identification evidence, and the other working on the civil justice reform initiative.
- Making a concerted effort to travel to courthouses throughout the state, beginning with a trip to the 6th and 7th Judicial Districts in October, and the 1st and 2nd Districts in November.

2. Ensure Access to Justice / Enhance the ability to efficiently conduct court business by developing technologies, especially electronic filing of all court documents

a. Report on the status of Ada County's deployment and Wave Status

Kevin Iwersen presented the following update concerning the iCourts project:

- Ada County went live with iCourt on August 8, 2016; at the end of 3 weeks, the project team shifted from on-site, go-live support to production support in late September;
- the first "Wave" project for the remaining 10 counties in Districts 4 and 5 remains on schedule; these counties have been reviewing checklists and meeting regularly with the project team to review progress; an organizational change management meeting with elected clerks, Trial Court Administrators, Administrative District Judges and location implementation managers took place in each district on August 25, 2016, to help equip each county in preparation for their staff and stakeholders for the change that iCourt will bring; data conversions were conducted in July and September, followed by conversion reviews to identify issues to the project team;

- the second “Wave” project for District 3 (6 counties) will commence in December 2016, with a target go-live date of October 10, 2017;
- the full deployment schedule for the remainder of the iCourt project has been determined. Districts 1 and 2 will go-live on April 3, 2018, and Districts 6 and 7 will go-live on October 8, 2018; and
- the project leadership team is continuing to work with Tyler to resolve contract disagreements related to delays with the project.

Concern was expressed regarding the impact on clerks where the implementation schedules overlap elections.

Kevin reiterated that the team wants to know instances where the new system is slowing down a process, not just when something does not work, to challenge them to solve problems they encounter, adding “Some we can solve, some we can’t, but let us know.”

b. iCourt Presentation given to JFAC on October 4, 2016

Sara Thomas reported that the Court’s appearance at the Fall JFAC meeting on October 4, 2016, included a presentation about the implementation of iCourt system, noting:

- ISTARs was originally programmed in 1989 as a tool for court clerks
- it is necessary to move to a new system because ISTARs is at end-of-life
- Tyler Technology is not only the best solution, but the least expensive
- iCourt is not just a solution for clerks, but the courts as a whole, including outside stakeholders such as jurors, etc.
- the new portal will allow viewing of court documents on-line
- an update on the funding for the project was outlined for JFAC, noting that due to declining filing fees and increased project costs and the need to stabilize the funding source

Kevin Iwersen, Janica Bisharat, and Michael Mehall shared the presentation made to JFAC, highlighting features of iCourts such as file and serve and the benefits of electronic case processing.

c. Consider recommendations from the Court Technology Committee:

- (1) to require civil case cover sheets: Janica Bisharat explained the Court Technology Committee recommends that the Court require parties in general civil cases to complete a civil case coversheet, to ensure the necessary personal identifying information is entered into the Odyssey case management system. This will allow the system to merge party masters as new jurisdictions come online and will help court clerks avoid creating duplicate party masters in the system. As well as being beneficial to the courts, it will also be beneficial to extended access users and the public when searching for parties in the iCourt Portal.

Following discussion, IT WAS MOVED BY JUDGE BEVAN AND SECONDED BY JUDGE BRUDIE THAT THE RECOMMENADTION FROM THE COURT TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE TO REQUIRE CIVIL CASE COVERSHEETS BE FORWARDED TO THE COURT FOR ITS CONSIDERATION. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Action Item

- Recommendations from the Court Technology Committee to require civil case coversheets will be forwarded to the Court for its consideration.
- (2) to provide an e-contact address via the Idaho State Bar for them to be served and/or notified electronically: Janica Bisharat explained that in Odyssey, the system populates attorney information including their Bar number, contact information, and email addresses. Problems arise when the contact information is not what the attorneys want to use for service. The problem being worked on with the State Bar is that some attorneys need an alternative email address for service and/or electronic notifications, and how to incorporate that alternative listing with the attorney contact information.

d. ADA Update (Andrea Patterson)

The Conference reviewed correspondence relating to an ADA Compliance Review that was recently initiated in Kootenai County; additionally, an update was provided regarding the actual on-site review conducted by the U.S. Department of Justice. Information regarding best practices for court-court cooperation as well as involvement of the ADA Coordinator were discussed in the event any other courts are put on notice of a compliance review.

2. Provide Timely, Fair, Impartial Case Resolution / Advance justice by resolving cases as early as possible, while guaranteeing the rights of the parties.

Senior Judge Barry Wood updated the Conference on the progress of the Advancing Justice Committee generally, and specifically the status of caseload management plans and the Civil Justice Reform Initiative. Judge Dunn is the new chair of the Advancing Justice Committee, and Judge Gregory Moeller is the vice-chair. The membership of the committee has been revised with members now having staggered terms. All felony and child protection caseload management plans have been approved by the Court, which are to be adopted by local rules. Any districts which have not adopted these plans by local rule need to complete this step. The misdemeanor and family caseload management plans are progressing, and a statewide conference to help develop juvenile justice plans is scheduled in December.

Judge Bevan provided a handout concerning felony, misdemeanor, infraction, and probation violation charges arising out of the same incident. The caseload management plan should be altered to follow the language regarding joinder in the criminal rules.

Judge Wood reported that Chief Justice Jim Jones has requested a small task force be formed to focus on certain types of civil cases regarding disclosure, fast-tracking, proportional discovery, etc. A meeting is set for May 2017 to discuss this nationwide trend of declining filings. Judge Molly Huskey will chair the task force, joined by Judges Hippler, Moeller, Dunn, and others.

3. Retirement Matters

a. These items will remain on Administrative Conference agendas until all issues are resolved:

(1) Judges Retirement Fund (JRF) Update: The Conference reviewed information relating to a comprehensive presentation at the Idaho Judicial Conference regarding the status of the JRF and the results of an informal feedback survey of the conference attendees relating to JRF benefits.

(2) PERSI Eligibility Update: Andrea Patterson reported that the eligibility of JRF members to begin receiving their accrued PERSI benefits remains unresolved. The Conference was updated on the status of a judge's pending appeal from a denial of benefits. Following the contested case decision by the hearing officer in favor of the judge, the PERSI Board has ordered additional hearings on the two questions of (1) whether payment of retirement benefits as proposed in the hearing officer's Recommended Order, would comply with applicable IRS regulations and (2) how has PERSI historically treated individuals similarly situated to Petitioner with regard to an application for PERSI benefits. These additional hearings have been set for September 2016 with the PERSI Board committing to make a decision by the end of October 2016.

b. Judicial Performance Evaluations Update: Andrea Patterson provided an update on the status of the Judicial Performance Evaluations project, including a timeline for implementation, description of what program components the Legislature funded (and not), and the feedback mechanisms that have been built in for survey participants and judges. Discussion was held regarding survey fatigue and how judges were scheduled for evaluation.

F. Other Business**1. Discussion in re: three Administrative Conference per year**

Sara Thomas asked for a discussion regarding the need for the February Administrative Conference, suggesting there might be a more productive use of everyone's time while in Boise during the legislative session. After discussion, it was decided that an all-day meeting for the Administrative District Judges and the Trial Court Administrators would be scheduled. Arrangements would also be made to visit the legislature.

G. Upcoming Dates of Importance to the Administrative Conference**April 2017 / Boise**

Thursday, April 20 (morning).....Administrative District Judges Meeting

Thursday, April 20 (morning)..... Trial Court Administrators Meeting

Thursday, April 20 (afternoon)Administrative Conference

Friday, April 21 (morning).....Administrative Conference

July 2017 / Moscow

Tuesday, July 11 (morning)Administrative District Judges Meeting

Tuesday, July 11 (morning)..... Trial Court Administrators Meeting

Tuesday, July 11 (afternoon)Administrative Conference

Wednesday, July 12 (morning)Administrative Conference

Wednesday – Friday, July 12-14 Idaho State Bar's Annual Meeting

October 2017 / Boise

Thursday, October 19 (morning)Administrative District Judges Meeting

Thursday, October 19 (morning) Trial Court Administrators Meeting

Thursday, October 19 (afternoon)...Administrative Conference

Friday, October 20 (morning)Administrative Conference

Prior to adjournment, the Conference thanked Administrative District Judge Hansen for his contributions to the Administrative Conference on behalf of the Fourth Judicial District.

IT WAS MOVED BY JUDGE WOOD AND SECONDED BY JUDGE HANSEN TO ADJOURN THE ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE AT 11:57 AM MDT. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.