ICJI 101 NATURE OF TRIAL

INSTRUCTION NO.

Now that you have been sworn as jurors to try this case, I want to go over with you what will be happening. I will describe how the trial will be conducted and what we will be doing. At the end of the trial, I will give you more detailed guidance on how you are to reach your decision.

Because the state has the burden of proof, it goes first. After the state's opening statement, the defense may make an opening statement, or may wait until the state has presented its case.

The state will offer evidence that it says will support the charge(s) against the defendant. The defense may then present evidence, but is not required to do so. If the defense does present evidence, the state may then present rebuttal evidence. This is evidence offered to answer the defense's evidence.

After you have heard all the evidence, I will give you additional instructions on the law. After you have heard the instructions, the state and the defense will each be given time for closing arguments. In their closing arguments, they will summarize the evidence to help you understand how it relates to the law. Just as the opening statements are not evidence, neither are the closing arguments. After the closing arguments, you will leave the courtroom together to make your decision. During your deliberations, you will have with you my instructions, the exhibits admitted into evidence and any notes taken by you in court.

ICJI 102 THE CHARGE

INSTRUCTION NO.

This criminal case has been brought by the state of Idaho. I will sometimes refer to the state as the prosecution. [The state is represented at this trial by the prosecuting attorney, ______. The defendant, ______, is represented by a lawyer, ______.

The defendant is charged by the state of Idaho with violation of law. The charge against the defendant is contained in the [Information][Indictment][Complaint]. The clerk shall read the [Information][Indictment][Complaint] and state the defendant's plea.

The [Information][Indictment][Complaint] is simply a description of the charge; it is not evidence.

Comment

I.C. s 19-2101 requires that the clerk read the information or indictment in all felony cases.

ICJI 103 REASONABLE DOUBT

PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE-REASONABLE DOUBT

INSTRUCTION NO.

Under our law and system of justice, the defendant is presumed to be innocent. The presumption of innocence means two things.

First, the state has the burden of proving the defendant guilty. The state has that burden throughout the trial. The defendant is never required to prove [his] [her] innocence, nor does the defendant ever have to produce any evidence at all.

Second, the state must prove the alleged crime beyond a reasonable doubt. A reasonable doubt is not a mere possible or imaginary doubt. It is a doubt based on reason and common sense. It may arise from a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence, or from lack of evidence. If after considering all the evidence you have a reasonable doubt about the defendant's guilt, you must find the defendant not guilty.

Comment

The Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment requires that the jury be instructed on the presumption of innocence. *Taylor v. Kentucky*, 436 U.S. 478 (1977). Although technically not a "presumption", the presumption of innocence is a way of describing the prosecution's duty both to produce evidence of guilt and to convince the jury beyond a reasonable doubt. *Id*.

"The beyond a reasonable doubt standard is a requirement of due process, but the Constitution neither prohibits trial courts from defining reasonable doubt nor requires them to do so as a matter of course. Indeed, so long as the court instructs the jury on the necessity that the defendant's guilt be proved beyond a reasonable doubt, the Constitution does not require that any particular form of words be used in advising the jury of the government's burden of proof. Rather, 'taken as a whole, the instructions [must] correctly conve[y] the concept of reasonable doubt to the jury.'" Victor v. Nebraska, 511 U.S. 1, 5 (1994) (citations omitted). The above instruction reflects the view that it is preferable to instruct the jury on the meaning of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. This instruction defines that term concisely while avoiding the pitfalls arising from some other attempts to define this concept.

ICJI 104 TRIAL PROCEDURE & EVIDENCE

INSTRUCTION NO.

Your duties are to determine the facts, to apply the law set forth in my instructions to those facts, and in this way to decide the case. In so doing, you must follow my instructions regardless of your own opinion of what the law is or should be, or what either side may state the law to be. You must consider them as a whole, not picking out one and disregarding others. The order in which the instructions are given has no significance as to their relative importance. The law requires that your decision be made solely upon the evidence before you. Neither sympathy nor prejudice should influence you in your deliberations. Faithful performance by you of these duties is vital to the administration of justice.

In determining the facts, you may consider only the evidence admitted in this trial. This evidence consists of the testimony of the witnesses, the exhibits offered and received, and any stipulated or admitted facts. The production of evidence in court is governed by rules of law. At times during the trial, an objection may be made to a question asked a witness, or to a witness' answer, or to an exhibit. This simply means that I am being asked to decide a particular rule of law. Arguments on the admissibility of evidence are designed to aid the Court and are not to be considered by you nor affect your deliberations. If I sustain an objection to a question or to an exhibit, the witness may not answer the question or the exhibit may not be considered. Do not attempt to guess what the answer might have been or what the exhibit might have shown. Similarly, if I tell you not to consider a particular statement or exhibit you should put it out of your mind, and not refer to it or rely on it in your later deliberations.

During the trial I may have to talk with the parties about the rules of law which should apply in this case. Sometimes we will talk here at the bench. At other times I will excuse you from the courtroom so that you can be comfortable while we work out any problems. Your are not to speculate about any such discussions. They are necessary from time to time and help the trial run more smoothly.

Some of you have probably heard the terms "circumstantial evidence," "direct evidence" and "hearsay evidence." Do not be concerned with these terms. You are to consider all the evidence admitted in this trial.

However, the law does not require you to believe all the evidence. As the sole judges of the facts, you must determine what evidence you believe and what weight you attach to it.

There is no magical formula by which one may evaluate testimony. You bring with you to this courtroom all of the experience and background of your lives. In your everyday affairs you determine for yourselves whom you believe, what you believe, and how much weight you attach to what you are told. The same considerations that you use in your everyday dealings in making these decisions are the considerations which you should apply in your deliberations.

In deciding what you believe, do not make your decision simply because more witnesses may have testified one way than the other. Your role is to think about the testimony of each witness you heard and decide how much you believe of what the witness had to say.

A witness who has special knowledge in a particular matter may give an opinion on that matter. In determining the weight to be given such opinion, you should consider the qualifications and credibility of the witness and the reasons given for the opinion. You are not bound by such opinion. Give it the weight, if any, to which you deem it entitled.

Comment

The committee recommends that no instruction be given otherwise distinguishing between direct and circumstantial evidence. The difference is only significant in deciding whether the Holder instruction, ICJI 203, should be given. That responsibility rests with the court, not the jury.

ICJI 105 DUTY OF COURT

INSTRUCTION NO.

If during the trial I may say or do anything which suggests to you that I am inclined to favor the claims or position of any party, you will not permit yourself to be influenced by any such suggestion. I will not express nor intend to express, nor will I intend to intimate, any opinion as to which witnesses are or are not worthy of belief; what facts are or are not established; or what inferences should be drawn from the evidence. If any expression of mine seems to indicate an opinion relating to any of these matters, I instruct you to disregard it.

[I may at times use the word "victim" in these instructions or in the course of this trial. This word is used only to refer to a person or persons who are alleged to have been victimized, and is used only for convenience. It does not indicate any opinion on my part that a person is a victim, or that the defendant has committed an offense. Whether a person is a victim, and whether the defendant is guilty of any offense, are matters for you alone to determine based on the evidence presented at trial.]

ICJI 106 PUNISHMENT NOT A CONCERN

INSTRUCTION NO.

Do not concern yourself with the subject of penalty or punishment. That subject must not in any way affect your verdict. If you find the defendant guilty, it will be my duty to determine the appropriate penalty or punishment.

ICJI 107 NOTE TAKING

INSTRUCTION NO.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember what witnesses said. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. You should not let note-taking distract you so that you do not hear other answers by witnesses. When you leave at night, please leave your notes in the jury room.

If you do not take notes, you should rely on your own memory of what was said and not be overly influenced by the notes of other jurors. In addition, you cannot assign to one person the duty of taking notes for all of you.

<u>Comment</u>

See I.C. s 19-2203.

ICJI 108 CONDUCT OF JURORS

INSTRUCTION NO.

It is important that as jurors and officers of this court you obey the following instructions at any time you leave the jury box, whether it be for recesses of the court during the day or when you leave the courtroom to go home at night.

Do not discuss this case during the trial with anyone, including any of the attorneys, parties, witnesses, your friends, or members of your family. "No discussion" also means no emailing, text messaging, tweeting, blogging, posting to electronic bulletin boards, and any other form of communication, electronic or otherwise.

Do not discuss this case with other jurors until you begin your deliberations at the end of the trial. Do not attempt to decide the case until you begin your deliberations.

I will give you some form of this instruction every time we take a break. I do that not to insult you or because I don't think you are paying attention, but because experience has shown this is one of the hardest instructions for jurors to follow. I know of no other situation in our culture where we ask strangers to sit together watching and listening to something, then go into a little room together and not talk about the one thing they have in common: what they just watched together. There are at least two reasons for this rule. The first is to help you keep an open mind. When you talk about things, you start to make decisions about them and it is extremely important that you not make any decisions about this case until you have heard all the evidence and all the rules for making your decisions, and you won't have that until the very end of the trial. The second reason for the rule is that we want all of you working together on this decision when you deliberate. If you have conversations in groups of two or three during the trial, you won't remember to repeat all of your thoughts and observations for the rest of your fellow jurors when you deliberate at the end of the trial.

Ignore any attempted improper communication. If any person tries to talk to you about this case, tell that person that you cannot discuss the case because you are a juror. If that person persists, simply walk away and report the incident to the bailiff.

Do not make any independent personal investigations into any facts or locations connected with this case. Do not look up any information from any source, including the Internet. Do not communicate any private or special knowledge about any of the facts of this case to your fellow jurors. Do not read or listen to any news reports about this case or about anyone involved in this case, whether those reports are in newspapers or the Internet, or on radio or television.

In our daily lives we may be used to looking for

information on-line and to "Google" something as a matter of routine. Also, in a trial it can be very tempting for jurors to do their own research to make sure they are making the correct decision. You must resist that temptation for our system of justice to work as it should. I specifically instruct that you must decide the case only on the evidence received here in court. If you communicate with anyone about the case or do outside research during the trial it could cause us to have to start the trial over with new jurors and you could be held in contempt of court.

While you are actually deliberating in the jury room, the bailiff will confiscate all cell phones and other means of electronic communications. Should you need to communicate with me or anyone else during the deliberations, please notify the bailiff.

ICJI 109 PERSONAL CONSIDERATION TO EACH DEFENDANT

INSTRUCTION NO.

You must give separate, personal consideration to the charge against each defendant. Each is entitled to a verdict based upon the evidence and the law which applies to that defendant.

Comment

This instruction should be used where there is more than one defendant on trial.

ICJI 110 CONSIDER EACH COUNT SEPARATELY

INSTRUCTION NO.

Each count charges a separate and distinct offense. You must decide each count separately on the evidence and the law that applies to it, uninfluenced by your decision as to any other count. The defendant may be found guilty or not guilty on either or both of the offenses charged.