

BOISE, TUESDAY, AUGUST 05, 2025, AT 10:30 A.M.

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 52461

JENNIFER TIEGS,)
)
Petitioner-Appellant,)
)
v.)
)
MICKI TIEGS,)
)
Respondent.)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Gerald F. Schroeder, District Judge. Hon. Diane M. Walker, Magistrate.

Bevis, Thiry, Henson & Katz, P.A.; Philip M. Bevis, Boise, for appellant.

Ludwig, Shoufler, Miller, Johnson, LLP; Jordan S. Ipsen, Boise, for respondent.

Jennifer and Micki Tiegs settled all issues in their pending divorce and, as part of the settlement, agreed to disposition of the marital home. The stipulation was memorialized as paragraph 5 in the judgment and decree of divorce. The home was sold but the parties disagreed as to disposition of the proceeds of the sale and the application of paragraph 5 of the divorce decree. Jennifer believed paragraph 5 required Micki to pay for the costs of the sale and the capital gains taxes from his separate property. Micki believed paragraph 5 specified the costs and taxes would be paid from the proceeds of the sale. Micki filed a motion to enforce the divorce decree. The magistrate court held that Micki was responsible for paying the costs of the sale and capital gains taxes but deducted those costs and taxes from the proceeds of the sale. Jennifer filed a motion to reconsider; the magistrate court denied the motion.

Jennifer appealed, and the magistrate court's order was affirmed by the district court on intermediate appeal. Jennifer again appeals, arguing that the district court erred in affirming the magistrate court's order to enforce the divorce decree with respect to the division of the proceeds from the sale of the marital home. Micki argues the district court did not err in affirming the magistrate court's order to enforce the divorce decree.