BOISE, TUESDAY, MAY 13, 2025, AT 1:30 P.M.

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 50627

STATE OF IDAHO,)
Plaintiff-Respondent,))
v.)
MYKOLA V. MAGOMADOV,)
Defendant-Appellant.)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Derrick J. O'Neill, District Judge.

Erik R. Lehtinen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jacob L. Westerfield, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Raúl R. Labrador, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Mykola V. Magomadov appeals from his judgment of conviction for possession of a controlled substance, possession of paraphernalia, with a persistent violator sentencing enhancement. Magomadov argues that the district court erred by denying his motion to suppress because the officers unlawfully extended the duration of his detention by spending time reverifying information they had already obtained. Magomadov further argues that inquiry regarding his probation status was not reasonably related to officer safety. Finally, Magomadov argues the district court erred in allowing the officers to rely on the information regarding Magomadov's probation status because to do so would amount to permitting a good-faith exception to the warrant requirement, which Idaho has rejected.

The State responds that the district court properly concluded the investigative stop was not unreasonably extended because the officers could inquire about Magomadov's probation status as an ordinary inquiry related to the stop and for officer safety concerns. The State also argues the officers had reasonable suspicion that Magomadov was on probation, justifying a detention to confirm or dispel that suspicion. Finally, the State argues that Magomadov's Fourth Amendment rights were not violated because the officers had probable cause to arrest him early in the encounter and there is no requirement that an arrest be completed within any particular period of time.