TWIN FALLS, WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 2025, AT 10:00 A.M.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

WAYNE MORRISON,)
Plaintiff-Counterdefendant- Appellant,))
and)
TODD MORRISON, BRYANT MORRISON, and LYN DEESUE MORRISON,)
Plaintiffs-Appellants,)
v.)
CHRISTINA K. THOMPSON, as Trustee of the Petra E. Morrison Trust, and as Trustee of the Frank L. Morrison Trust,) Docket No. 52401
Defendant-Counterclaimant- Respondent,)))
and)
CHARLES THOMPSON and CHRISTINA THOMPSON, husband and wife, individually; CRAIG THOMPSON, CAROLYN HASTINGS, and CARL THOMPSON,))))
Defendants-Respondents,)
and)
DOES INDIVIDUALS/ENTITIES 1-10,)
Defendants.)
IN THE MATTER OF THE PETRA MORRISON TRUST, uta May 14, 2007, and as AMENDED September 16, 2016;)))

CHRISTINA K. THOMPSON, Successor Trustee,)
,)
Petitioner.)
IN THE MATTER OF THE FRANK)
MORRISON TRUST, uta February 13, 1986,)
CHRISTINA K. THOMPSON, Co-Trustee,)
)
Petitioner.)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District of the State of Idaho, Blaine County. Jonathan P. Brody, District Judge.

Elam & Burke, P.A., Boise, for Appellants.

Haemmerle Law, PLLC, Hailey, for Respondents Christina K. Thompson as Successor Trustee of the Petra Morrison Trust and the Co-Trustee of the Frank Morrison Trust; and Carolyn Hastings as Co-Trustee of the Frank Morrison Trust.

Stanek Law, PLLC, Hailey, for Respondents Christina Thompson, Charles Thompson, Craig Thompson, Carolyn Hastings, and Carl Thompson.

This case involves the distribution of two trusts: the Frank Morrison Trust ("FMT") and the Petra Morrison Trust ("PMT"). The main asset in both trusts is property located in Sun Valley, Idaho (the "Farnlun property"). Frank and Petra's daughter, Christina Thompson, is a trustee of both trusts. The trustees of the FMT and PMT petitioned the Idaho Supreme Court for distribution of the trusts in-kind.

Frank and Petra's son, Wayne Morrison, and his children opposed the petitions for distribution. They filed a complaint against the trustees (including Christina) and Christina's family members that included a request for partition. They also filed a petition seeking to remove the trustees. The defendants filed a motion for summary judgment and asked the district court to dismiss the complaint. The district court granted the motion for summary judgment, denied the petition to remove the trustees, granted the petitions for distribution, and awarded attorney fees against Wayne and his children's share of the FMT.

Wayne appeals and argues that the district court erred in four respects. First, he argues that the district court erred by denying his petition to remove the trustees because there is evidence that they breached their fiduciary duties in the administration of the trusts. Second, he argues that the district court erred by granting the petition for distribution because the calculation of the beneficiaries' interests in the Farnlun property was based on a disputed valuation of the property and improper offsets to the Thompson family. He further argues that the district court erred in its application of a no contest clause to disinherit him from the PMT. Third, he claims the district court incorrectly concluded that Wayne lacked standing to seek partition of the Farnlun property. Fourth, he challenges the award of attorney fees below.