

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 41704

STATE OF IDAHO,	)	2014 Unpublished Opinion No. 645
	)	
<b>Plaintiff-Respondent,</b>	)	<b>Filed: August 4, 2014</b>
	)	
v.	)	<b>Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk</b>
	)	
LUIS ANGEL CORTES,	)	<b>THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</b>
	)	<b>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</b>
<b>Defendant-Appellant.</b>	)	<b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
	)	

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Timothy L. Hansen, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of eight years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, for trafficking in methamphetamine, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Diane M. Walker, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before LANSING, Judge; GRATTON, Judge;  
and MELANSON, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

Luis Angel Cortes was found guilty of trafficking in methamphetamine. I.C. §§ 37-2732B(a)(4), 37-2732B(c). In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed. The district court sentenced Cortes to a unified term of eight years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years. Cortes appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Cortes's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.