

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 40612

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2013 Unpublished Opinion No. 814
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: December 31, 2013
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
JUSTIN ADAMS OLSON,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Bradly S. Ford, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with five years determinate, for sexual abuse of a child under sixteen years, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Sally J. Cooley, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge;
and MELANSON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Justin Adams Olson pled guilty to sexual abuse of a child under sixteen years. Idaho Code § 18-1506(b). The district court sentenced Olson to a unified term of fifteen years, with five years determinate. Thereafter, Olson filed an Idaho Criminal Rule 35 motion for reduction of his sentence, which the district court denied. Olson now appeals, contending his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Olson's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.